



STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD

Gavin Newsom ■ Governor

915 L Street ■ Ninth Floor ■ Sacramento CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ (916) 445-9694

STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD

February 6, 2026

FINAL MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Michele Perrault, Chief Deputy Director, for the Department of Finance
Jason Kenney, Chief Deputy Director, for the Department of General Services
Erin Holbrook, Chief Counsel, for the Department of Transportation

MEMBERS ABSENT:

None.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Michele Perrault, Chairperson of the State Public Works Board (Board), called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Angela Noland, Secretary to the Board, called the roll. A quorum was established.

BOND ITEMS:

None.

MINUTES:

The first order of business was to approve the minutes from the January 12, 2026, Board meeting.

Sydney Tanimoto Corley, Executive Director, stated that staff had prepared and reviewed the minutes from the January 12, 2026, Board meeting and recommended approval of the meeting minutes.

Ms. Perrault asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board, or from the public. There were none.

A motion was made by Ms. Holbrook and seconded by Mr. Kenney to approve the minutes. The motion passed unanimously through a 3-0 roll-call vote (Ms. Perrault, Mr. Kenney, and Ms. Holbrook all voting aye).

CONSENT ITEMS:

None.

ACTION ITEMS:

The next order of business was to consider one Action Item.

ACTION ITEM 1:

Ms. Tanimoto Corley stated that this action would adopt four Resolutions of Necessity authorizing the use of eminent domain by the High-Speed Rail Authority, to acquire the following properties or interests in properties for the High-Speed Train System:

Lamar Advertising Property (Kern County)
DBH Family Property (Fresno County)
OUTFRONT Media, Property (Fresno County)
Teamsters Union Property (Fresno County)

Ms. Tanimoto Corley stated the Authority notified the Board's staff that between August 2025 and November 2025 the respective property owners were provided with a first written offer to purchase the subject properties, as required by Government Code section 7267.2. The Authority had informed the Board's staff that negotiations to acquire the properties were continuing; however, to keep the project on schedule, the adoption of a Resolution of Necessity to authorize the use of eminent domain was required.

Ms. Tanimoto Corley stated that on January 21, 2026, Notices of Intent to adopt a Resolution of Necessity were mailed by Board staff to the owners. The notices were sent in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1245.235.

Jesus Corral, counsel to the Board, presented the Resolutions of Necessity.

Mr. Corral stated that the Resolutions of Necessity that were before the Board had been reviewed along with the facts and supporting documentation to ensure there was prima facie evidence that the factors set forth in Code of Civil Procedure Section 1245.230 were present. The evidence did not include concerns regarding the amount of compensation to be paid or the value of the property to be acquired, and such concerns were not considered by the State Public Works Board when determining if the Board should adopt a given Resolution of Necessity. Specifically, the Resolutions provide:

1. The public interest and necessity require the Project.
2. That the proposed Project is planned and located in a manner that will provide the greatest public good with the least private injury.
3. The acquisition property described in Exhibit A of the Resolutions is necessary for the project; and
4. The offer of just compensation required by Government Code section 7267.2 has been made to all owners of record as required by the statute.

Mr. Corral continued that it was counsel's opinion that for the Resolutions of Necessity, prima facie evidence for these factors was present such that the Board may adopt the Resolutions of Necessity. If the Board agreed and determined these factors were present, the Board may move to adopt the Resolutions of Necessity. Mr. Corral concluded that the Board's adoption of the Resolutions of Necessity did not preclude continued negotiations as part of an effort to secure a mutually agreeable acquisition of each subject property.

Ms. Perrault asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board, from the property owners or their counsel, or from the public.
There were none.

A motion was made by Mr. Kenney and seconded by Ms. Perrault to approve Action Item one. The motion passed through a 2-0 roll-call vote (Ms. Perrault and Mr. Kenney voting aye, and Ms. Holbrook abstaining).

OTHER BUSINESS:

None.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT:

None.

REPORTABLES:

Ms. Tanimoto Corley presented the Reportable Items for the period of January 1, 2026, through January 25, 2026.

NEXT MEETING:

Ms. Perrault stated that the next Board meeting was scheduled for Wednesday, March 18, 2026. The time and location of the meeting would be posted on the Board's website.

Ms. Perrault asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board or from the public. There were none. The meeting concluded at 10:06 a.m.